# NIH'S ROLE IN SUSTAINING THE U.S. ECONOMY <br> A 2011 Update Authored by Dr. Everett Ehrlich 

The health benefits of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) research support are clear: investment in NIH directly leads to better medicines, procedures, treatments, equipment and delivery systems to prevent and cure disease. Additionally NIH plays a notable role as an economic engine, helping maintain American competitiveness.

NIH supports nearly half a million jobs all across the country and remains the largest funder of life sciences research in the U.S. More than 80 percent of its budget directly funds "extramural" research performed by 325,000 scientists at more than 3,000 institutions in all fifty states and the District of Columbia.

In May, 2011, United For Medical Research released a report entitled, "An Economic Engine: NIH Research, Employment, and the Future of the Medical Innovation Sector," which focused on the economic benefits of NIH extramural spending. As that report noted, spending on basic research triggers complementary private investment and contributes significantly to the competitive strength of U.S. health industries in an in-
creasingly global market. Furthermore, NIH is an important source of employment in its own right.

Using the Department of Commerce' RIMS II model, the report projected that $\$ 26.6$ billion in NIH extramural funding in 2010 directly and indirectly supported 487,900 jobs nationwide, leading to fifteen states experiencing job growth of 10,000 or more.

This paper updates those employment estimates'. In 2011, NIH remained a powerhouse driver of economic activity and jobs, but the lack of sustained investment in the agency is beginning to have an impact. As seen in Table 1, the $\$ 23.7$ billion spent by NIH extramurally in the fifty states and the District of Columbia in 2011 directly and indirectly supported 432,094 jobs, a decrease of approximately 55,000 jobs from the previous year. This decrease in funding was due, at least in part, to the end of supplementary investment in NIH provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.

Regardless, 13 states showed NIH-supported employment of 10,000 or more, and nearly half
of all states ( 24 states) had 5,000 or more jobs which could be attributed to NIH investment, led by California (63,196 jobs), New York (33,193 jobs), Massachusetts (34,598 jobs), and Texas (25,878 jobs). In addition to the direct jobs impact, there is a broad and compelling literature demonstrating the dynamic role between NIH spending and the private sector as the discoveries NIH finances move to commercial applications involving new medicines, tests, procedures, and devices. NIH spending in 2011 alone produced $\$ 62.132$ billion in new economic activity.

This update underscores that NIH funding consistently generates substantial, positive returns, and that the benefits enabled by NIH funding extend well beyond research discoveries. Our nation's commitment to NIH has been, and will remain, an important factor in bolstering the nation's economy and driving U.S. global success. Whether the goal is to fuel new medical discoveries or to drive U.S. economic growth, investing in NIH should remain a top national priority.
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## Table 1 Jobs Supported by NIH Awards to States, FY 2011

| State | NIH awards (\$M) | Employment multiplier * (jobs per \$1 change in NIH award) | Intrastate jobs | Added Interstate activity (\%) | Interstate jobs | TOTAL EMPLOYMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 268.5 | 16.47 | 4,422 | 0.215 | 951 | 5,373 |
| Alaska | 9.2 | 16.49 | 152 | 1.985 | 301 | 453 |
| Arizona | 183.8 | 16.87 | 3,101 | 0.462 | 1,431 | 4,532 |
| Arkansas | 62.6 | 17.64 | 1,104 | 0.617 | 682 | 1,786 |
| California | 3,535.3 | 15.43 | 54,534 | 0.159 | 8,662 | 63,196 |
| Colorado | 320.3 | 16.08 | 5,152 | 0.237 | 1,220 | 6,372 |
| Connecticut | 479.5 | 11.69 | 5,605 | 0.160 | 899 | 6,504 |
| Delaware | 30.6 | 9.52 | 291 | 0.746 | 217 | 508 |
| District of Columbia | 202.4 | 2.15 | 434 | 0.252 | 110 | 544 |
| Florida | 492.6 | 17.84 | 8,787 | 0.479 | 4,206 | 12,993 |
| Georgia | 463.3 | 18.81 | 8,713 | 0.258 | 2,250 | 10,963 |
| Hawaii | 60.7 | 16.56 | 1,005 | 0.382 | 384 | 1,390 |
| Idaho | 9.3 | 14.69 | 137 | 2.275 | 312 | 449 |
| Illinois | 779.2 | 15.57 | 12,133 | 0.233 | 2,828 | 14,960 |
| Indiana | 216.2 | 16.56 | 3,579 | 0.405 | 1,449 | 5,028 |
| Iowa | 197.7 | 16.73 | 3,308 | 0.275 | 909 | 4,217 |
| Kansas | 105.8 | 13.86 | 1,467 | 0.451 | 661 | 2,128 |
| Kentucky | 156.3 | 17.62 | 2,754 | 0.336 | 927 | 3,680 |
| Louisiana | 166.8 | 18.13 | 3,024 | 0.454 | 1,373 | 4,397 |
| Maine | 74.9 | 19.57 | 1,466 | 0.242 | 355 | 1,821 |
| Maryland | 1,687.7 | 13.77 | 23,240 | 0.057 | 1,317 | 24,557 |
| Massachusetts | 2,507.9 | 13.18 | 33,053 | 0.047 | 1,544 | 34,598 |
| Michigan | 655.5 | 15.18 | 9,949 | 0.180 | 1,795 | 11,744 |
| Minnesota | 493.8 | 15.94 | 7,871 | 0.170 | 1,338 | 9,209 |
| Mississippi | 33.9 | 16.81 | 569 | 1.093 | 622 | 1,191 |
| Missouri | 477.3 | 13.47 | 6,429 | 0.165 | 1,059 | 7,489 |
| Montana | 39.7 | 17.86 | 709 | 0.353 | 251 | 960 |
| Nebraska | 84.1 | 15.00 | 1,262 | 0.416 | 525 | 1,787 |
| Nevada | 20.6 | 13.42 | 276 | 2.263 | 625 | 901 |
| New Hampshire | 88.4 | 12.77 | 1,129 | 0.236 | 267 | 1,396 |
| New Jersey | 250.7 | 13.42 | 3,366 | 0.590 | 1,987 | 5,352 |
| New Mexico | 105.7 | 15.27 | 1,614 | 0.263 | 424 | 2,037 |
| New York | 2,041.4 | 13.74 | 28,041 | 0.184 | 5,152 | 33,193 |
| North Carolina | 1,063.0 | 17.25 | 18,340 | 0.122 | 2,231 | 20,571 |
| North Dakota | 17.5 | 14.57 | 255 | 0.774 | 197 | 453 |
| Ohio | 711.0 | 17.37 | 12,350 | 0.205 | 2,536 | 14,886 |
| Oklahoma | 82.5 | 19.43 | 1,602 | 0.654 | 1,048 | 2,650 |
| Oregon | 303.6 | 16.93 | 5,138 | 0.185 | 951 | 6,089 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,455.1 | 14.97 | 21,785 | 0.115 | 2,506 | 24,291 |
| Rhode Island | 152.8 | 14.06 | 2,148 | 0.115 | 247 | 2,395 |
| South Carolina | 142.0 | 18.21 | 2,586 | 0.376 | 974 | 3,560 |
| South Dakota | 18.6 | 10.76 | 200 | 0.983 | 197 | 397 |
| Tennessee | 479.9 | 16.86 | 8,093 | 0.157 | 1,267 | 9,360 |
| Texas | 1,066.8 | 18.55 | 19,787 | 0.308 | 6,091 | 25,878 |
| Utah | 171.0 | 20.10 | 3,436 | 0.203 | 697 | 4,132 |
| Vermont | 52.6 | 17.15 | 901 | 0.184 | 166 | 1,067 |
| Virginia | 332.3 | 13.68 | 4,546 | 0.403 | 1,830 | 6,376 |
| Washington | 926.0 | 14.66 | 13,575 | 0.118 | 1,605 | 15,180 |
| West Virginia | 19.0 | 16.59 | 315 | 1.291 | 406 | 721 |
| Wisconsin | 402.6 | 16.54 | 6,659 | 0.207 | 1,378 | 8,036 |
| Wyoming | 6.2 | 15.31 | 95 | 2.684 | 254 | 349 |
| 50 states plus DC | 23,704 |  | 360,485 |  | 71,609 | 432,094 |
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[^0]:    Given that 2012 spending is yet to be fully determined, it bases new employment estimates on 2011 state-by-state NIH extramural spending patterns.

[^1]:    About United for Medical Research
    United for Medical Research represents leading research institutions, patient and health advocates and private industry, joined together to seek steady increases in federal funding for the National Institutes of Health. The coalition consists of the American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association, Association of American Universities, Association of Public and Land Grant Universities, BD, Biotechnology Industry Organization, Harvard University, Johns Hopkins University, Life Technologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Melanoma Research Alliance, PhRMA, Research!America, Stanford University, The Endocrine Society, Thermo Fisher Scientific, University of Pennsylvania, University of Southern California, Vanderbilt University, and Washington University in St. Louis.

